


CORPS DIRECTED COMMENTS¹




¹- pie chart represents comments expressed by > 10% of the respondents

- MYCA proposal represents too high a level of development for the project site (n=38)
- Corps is hypocritical. They should want conservation but instead they have this proposal (n=14)
- The Corps has strict regulations for homeowners but not for MYCA (n=9)

Figure 1. Corps Directed Comments

ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERNS¹




¹- pie chart represents comments expressed by > 10% of the respondents

- Concerns about wastewater treatment and pollution (n=32)
- Wildlife effects, species in area (n=22)
- Concerns regarding the number and size of trees that will be cut down for MYCA development (n=20)
- Area is used for flood control and erosion control (n=8)
- Info about MacBride Raptor program, the birds released there and their status (n=8)

Figure 2. Environmental Concerns

SOCIOECONOMIC CONCERNS¹




¹ - pie chart represents comments expressed by > 10% of the respondents

- The streets lack adequate capacity and the MYCA proposal will degrade traffic (n=41)
- Property values will decrease (n=9)
- MYCA will use taxpayers money for roads, EMS, etc. (n=13)
- Concerns about public accessibility to the land (n=24)
- Safety issues because of the added number of people on the roads and in the area (n=11)
- Concerns about the impact of the project on the nearby homeowners (n=8)
- Concerns for emergency needs (n=11)
- Concerns about noise levels (n=13)

Figure 3. Socioeconomic Concerns

SUGGESTIONS FOR ALTERNATIVE LAND USE¹



¹- pie chart represents comments expressed by > 10% of the respondents

Figure 4. Suggestions for Alternative Land Use